

FOREST EUROPE

UN ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION



Food and Agriculture
Organization of the
United Nations



UNECE

Reporting format for progress towards Goals for European Forests and the European 2020 Targets for Forests and Questionnaire on the pan-European Qualitative Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management

FOREST EUROPE/UNECE/FAO

Reporting format for progress towards Goals for European Forests and the European 2020 Targets for Forests and Questionnaire on the pan-European Qualitative Indicators for Sustainable Forest Management

National correspondent:

Name:	Mr. Christian Lundmark Jensen
Organisation:	
Address:	
Phone/Fax:	
E-mail:	

Other professionals involved in the reporting process:

Name:	
Organisation:	
E-mail:	
Name:	
Organisation:	
E-mail:	
Name:	
Organisation:	
E-mail:	

Please indicate e-mail addresses, a copy of the national report on the qualitative indicators should be sent to:

--

Introduction

In 2011, in the Oslo Ministerial Decision; European Forests 2020, ministers responsible for European forests made a number of commitments, notably the Goals for European Forests and the European 2020 Targets for Forests. The eighth Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, to be held in Bratislava in 2020, will review progress towards these goals and targets, as well as carrying out the regular study on the State of Europe's Forests, based on the pan-European criteria and indicators of sustainable forest management. The study will serve as background information to shape political and technical discussions on future opportunities and challenges, and political responses to these developments.

To improve data quality and to reduce the reporting burden, the reporting processes for the goals and targets and for the qualitative indicators of sustainable forest management are being combined, as there is significant overlap in the areas on which information is needed. This enquiry requests information on progress towards the goals and targets, which mostly concern measures and actions at the national level, as well as information on the qualitative indicators on sustainable forest management, which concern legal, institutional, policy, financial and informational instruments. Information provided for this enquiry, combined with the parallel enquiry on the quantitative indicators of sustainable forest management, will provide sufficient information for the State of Europe's Forests 2020, which will also report on progress towards the goals and targets.

This enquiry is structured according to the updated pan-European qualitative indicators for sustainable forest management, as adopted in Annex 1 to the Madrid Ministerial Declaration 2015. In completing the enquiry, you may wish to consult the following sources of information on policies and institutions:

STATE OF EUROPE'S FORESTS 2015:

<http://foresteurope.org/state-europes-forests-2015-report/>

Background information for the updated pan-European indicators for sustainable forest management:

http://foresteurope.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/3AG_UPI_Updated_Backgr_Info.pdf

FAO-LEX: <http://faolex.fao.org/faolex>

All FOREST EUROPE members are also members of the UN Forum on Forests, which will also invite members to report, on a voluntary basis, on progress towards the Global Forest Goals and Targets for a report scheduled for 2021. The two processes (global and pan-European) are formally quite separate, but clearly overlap in subject matter. To the extent possible, this reporting format has been designed to reduce the reporting burden, so that respondents may provide the same information in both fora.

For more information please contact Liaison Unit Bratislava
(Liaison.Unit.Bratislava@foresteurope.org, rastislav.rasi@foresteurope.org)

Deadline for submission: 31 October 2018

Part 1: Reporting on progress towards the Goals for European Forests and the European 2020 Targets for Forests

With regard to the Forest Europe Work Programme 2016-2020, activity 4.2.4, please provide information, following the template below, on the major actions and measures taken in your country to achieve the Goals for European Forests and the European 2020 Targets for Forests. In the interests of analysis and to facilitate the identification of regional trends, please keep your answer short, restricting yourself to the five most important actions or measures, and identifying a maximum of five remaining challenges. If you wish to list more actions, or provide more detail, please do so in a separate message.

Please ensure that all the actions/measures and challenges you list are directly connected to the specific goal or target, and not to more general forest governance measures (which are addressed in the next part of this enquiry).

Goals for European Forests

During the FOREST EUROPE Ministerial Conference held in 2011 in Oslo, Norway, ministers responsible for forests in Europe adopted eight "Goals for European Forests" which are of long term nature. The below questions relate to the progress so far achieved.

Goal I. Sustainable management of all European forests ensures multiple forest functions and enhances lasting provision of goods and services

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal I (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	A new Danish National Forest Programme was launched in October 2018. It covers all the FE SFM criteria and sets out 1 - 4 strategic orientation lines for each of them as well as a range of concrete actions.
Action / Measure 2	Biodiversity conservation in Danish forests was given a major lift through a political agreement on a "Nature package" in 2016. It included a decision to set aside about 20 pct. of the state forest cover for biodiversity conservation as well a grant scheme for private forest owners to set aside private forest areas as well.
Action / Measure 3	Use of wood for energy was given a major lift with a political agreement on energy of 2012. Amongst other elements it included a decision to speed up the transition from coal based heat and power platforms to biomass based platforms. I also allowed grants to be provided for biomass based production of electricity. In order to ensure sustainable sourcing a voluntary agreements amongst major energy producing stakeholder groups on the sustainable sourcing of forest based wooden chips and pellets for heat and power was developed in 2014 and backed up by the government. A new Energy agreement was agreed upon in 2018 with a view to provide a more level playing field.
Action / Measure 4	

	Water protection through afforestation was given priority through a political agreement in 2015. Options for increasing production levels in afforestation was enhanced through an expansion of the list of species that may be included in areas covered by schemes (so that, for example, faster growing confers may also be covered).
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal I (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	Grant schemes and other incentives tend to focus on only one or a few key criteria for prioritization. Multifunctionality as such can be harder to provide incentives for.
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Goal II. European forests contribute to a green economy, including through increased provision of wood, other forest products and ecosystem services from sustainable sources

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal II (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	Use of wood for energy was given a major lift with a political agreement on energy of 2012. Amongst other elements it included a decision to speed up the transition from coal based heat and power platforms to biomass based platforms. I also allowed grants to be provided for biomass based production of electricity. In order to ensure sustainable sourcing a voluntary agreements amongst major energy producing stakeholder groups on the sustainable sourcing of forest based wooden chips and pellets for heat and power was developed in 2014 and backed up by the government.
--------------------	---

	A new Energy agreement was agreed upon in 2018 with a view to provide a more level playing field.
Action / Measure 2	New guidelines and binding obligations for public procurement of wood and wood based products for paper, furniture and construction works were established in 2014. A circular sets out binding obligation for central government purchases as well as the use of wood for construction works for central government. The obligation is to ensure that wood and wood based products bought or used is based is sustainable. Voluntary guidelines applicable for all public buyers and users of wood and wood based products was issued at the same time. The guidelines uses a definition of sustainable wood that is based on the FE key SFM criteria and also allows for a smooth uptake of recycled wood as sustainable, as well as mixed Wood from in legal sources up to certain limits. The model allows in essence for very simple specifications of requirements in public tender documents and requirements, while at the same time ensuring robust criteria, that may be verified through certification as well as other alternative means for verification.
Action / Measure 3	Research and Development on new methodologies for afforestation and reforestation has highlighted new opportunities for enhancing the short term production potential without compromising the long term potential (for example through an increased use of nurse trees).
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal II (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	Implementation in practise of public procurement policies of timber remains an ongoing challenge, in spite of binding obligations for central government and voluntary guidance to facilitate implementation.
Challenge 2	Afforestation and the expansion of the Danish forest cover can be challenging to pursue when facing several competing interests for land use.
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	

Challenge 5	
-------------	--

Goal III. Forest management in Europe is being adapted to changes in climate, forests are healthy and resilient to natural hazards and protected against human-induced threats such as forest fires, and the productive and protective functions of forests are maintained

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal III (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	Grants for re-establishment of storm felled forests or forest stands are provided on certain conditions, including that the new forests/stands must be robust and able to regenerate through natural processes.
Action / Measure 2	Collaboration between the state forest administration and municipalities has unlocked new potentials for uptake of surplus water from floods in forests under extreme weather conditions.
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal III (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	

Challenge 5	
-------------	--

Goal IV. The potential of European forests to mitigate climate change, through carbon sequestration in trees and soils, carbon storage in forest products and substitution of non-renewable materials and energy sources, is utilised to minimise Europe’s ecological footprint without harming the global carbon balance

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal IV (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	Denmarks forest cover, total annual increement in forests, total harvesting as well as total forest carbon stock has, with some fluctuation, all increased over the past decade. Grant schemes for private afforestation as well as state afforestation has help the expansion of the forest cover, but also generally favorable investment conditions seems to play a major role. Thus, on average most of the expansion of the forest cover is undertaken without the aid from grant schemes for private afforestation or state afforestation.
Action / Measure 2	Research and Development on new methodologies for afforestation and reforestaton has highlighted new oppourtunities for enhancing the short term production potential without compromising the long term potential (for example through an increased use of nurse trees). This enchances the potential for fossil fuel substitution, while maintaining or enhancing the forests capacity for long term carbon dioxide removal.
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal IV (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	A relatively large share of Danish forests, in particular beech forests, but also spruce forests, are currently relatively old and likely to be regenerated in near future following the normal sustainable forest management practice. This is expected to influence the total forest carbon stock, possibly with a net short term decrease. Forecasts suggest that a possible short term decrease will be followed by a continued increase in the medium and longer term.
-------------	---

Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Goal V. The loss of forest biodiversity in Europe is halted and degraded forests are restored or rehabilitated

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal V (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	Biodiversity conservation in Danish forests was given a major lift through a political agreement on a "Nature package" in 2016. It included a decision to set aside about 20 pct. of the state forest cover for biodiversity conservation as well a grant scheme for private forest owners to set aside private forest areas as well.
Action / Measure 2	At the same time the new national forest programme set out a strategic orientation line to take due care for biodiversity as an integral part of all SFM.
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal V (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	

Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Goal VI. The role of forests in combating the progress of desertification is strengthened

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal VI (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal VI (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

--	--

Goal VII. Socioeconomic and cultural benefits, especially for livelihoods, rural development and employment from European forests are optimised

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal VII (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	Guidance has been developed and issued on rules and best practise for forest management for conserving cultural heritage and ancient monuments in forests.
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal VII (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Goal VIII. Illegal logging and associated trade in wood and other forest products are eliminated in Europe;

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken towards achieving Goal VIII (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Goal VIII (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please)

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

European 2020 Targets for Forests

During the FOREST EUROPE Ministerial Conference held in 2011 in Oslo, Norway, ministers responsible for forests in Europe adopted also nine “European 2020 Targets for Forests”. These targets are supposed to be achieved by 2020 and the below questions focus on information related to the extent of achievement.

Target I. All European countries have developed and are implementing national forest programmes, or its equivalent, in line with the shared vision and goals and the pan-European approach to national forest programmes

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target I (maximum

of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target I (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target II. In addressing emerging issues forest knowledge is improved through research, education, innovation, information sharing and

communication

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target II (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target II (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target III. In response to political objectives on the use of renewable raw material and energy in Europe, the supply of wood and other forest products from sustainably managed forests has increased substantially

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target III (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target III (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	

Challenge 5	
-------------	--

Target IV. The full value of forest ecosystem services across Europe is being estimated with a view to using common valuation approaches, and that values are increasingly reflected in relevant national policies and market-based instruments such as payments for ecosystem services

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target IV (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target IV (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	

Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target V. All European countries include strategies for forests and climate change adaptation and mitigation in national forest programmes or equivalents and all other relevant national strategies

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target V (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target V (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	

Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target VI. The rate of loss of forest biodiversity at habitat level is at least halved and where feasible brought close to zero, and measures are taken to significantly reduce forest fragmentation and degradation and to restore degraded forests

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target VI (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target VI (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
-------------	--

Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target VII. The role of forests in combating desertification is fully recognised and forests are also managed to that end

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target VII (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve

Target VII (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target VIII. All European countries have policies and measures which ensure a significant increase in socio-economic and cultural benefits, especially for human health, livelihoods, rural development and employment from forests

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target VIII (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved
- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)

- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target VIII (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Target IX. Effective measures are taken at regional, sub-regional and national levels to eliminate illegal logging and associated trade

Please describe a maximum of five major actions and measures taken to achieve Target IX (maximum of 100 words per action, please). Please indicate, if an action or measure could be referenced as Best Practice Example.

Action / Measure 1	
Action / Measure 2	
Action / Measure 3	
Action / Measure 4	
Action / Measure 5	

Do you consider the target to be achieved at national level?

- Target fully achieved

- On track to achieve target (we expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- Progress to achieve target (but we do not expect to achieve the target by 2020)
- No progress

If not fully achieved, please describe a maximum of five major remaining challenges to achieve Target IX (maximum of 100 words per challenge, please).

Challenge 1	
Challenge 2	
Challenge 3	
Challenge 4	
Challenge 5	

Part 2: Reporting on the pan-European Qualitative Indicators

Reporting on pan-European Qualitative Indicators consists of five overall forest policy and governance indicators (Indicators 1-5) and indicators for policies, institutions and instruments related to 6 criteria for SFM (Indicators C1-6).

Please see Annex 1 for “Terms and Definitions”.

For reporting, please note the following:

1. All requests for responses are limited to approximately 100 words or 650 letters (including blank spaces) automatically in order to keep the analytical efforts within reasonable bounds. If you wish to provide more detail, please do so in a separate message.
2. Significant changes since 2014 will be analysed systematically. If no information is provided, that will be interpreted as “no significant change”.
3. Please provide further information on the measures taken, as deemed relevant, e.g. major partners in implementation, period, and budget involved experiences made (lessons learned, obstacles encountered and solutions).
4. Please provide the latest information available unless otherwise specified.
5. Please specify reference documents as follows: - Author or institution. Year of publication (if published). Title. Reference Number. Publisher, Link;
6. In terms of indicators C1-C6, please report only these changes in policies, institutions and instruments that are related specifically to the individual criteria, the overall status and changes related to the SFM should be reported under indicators 1-5.

2A: Forest Policy and Governance

The five overall Forest policy and governance indicators cover the overall policy approaches, institutions and instruments for implementation and communication of SFM:

1. National Forest Programmes (NFPs) or equivalent;
2. Institutional frameworks;
3. Legal/regulatory framework: National (and/or sub-national) and international commitments;
4. Financial and economic instruments;
5. Information and communication.

1. National forest programmes (NFP) or equivalent	
NFP or equivalent process/documents for forest related dialogue, policy development & implementation	
Existence of NFP or equivalent process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, at national level <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at subnational level <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Under elaboration

Main formal decision making body of the process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ministry responsible for forestry <input type="checkbox"/> Body with members other than Ministry responsible for forestry <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Please specify:	
Significant changes related to the NFP or equivalent process since 2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, please describe the reason/s for and type of changes [max.100 words]:
	<p>A new Danish National Forest Programme was launched in October 2018. It covers all the FE SFM criteria and sets out 1 - 4 strategic orientation lines for each of them as well as a range of concrete actions.</p>	
Key lessons learned on the NFP process or similar	Please specify [max. 100 words], particularly on the NPF principles related to NFP as iterative process, stakeholder participation and approaches to inter-sectoral coordination and collaboration:	
	<p>- It has been very helpful that the new Danish NFP has followed the structure of the key SFM criteria of Forest Europe.</p>	
Main forest policy document other than law (such as national forest programme, forest policy statement, strategy or other)	Name: <p>National Forest Programme of 2018.</p> Internet link: <p>mfvm.dk/skovprogram</p> Starting year: <p>2018</p>	

		Yes explicit	Partially	No
Inclusion of FOREST EUROPE (FE) instruments in the main forest policy document	o FE definition of SFM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	o FE criteria and indicators for SFM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	o FE guidelines for NFPs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	o FE Classification of Protected and Protective Forests and Other Wooded Land	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	o FE Pan-European Operational Level Guidelines for Sustainable Forest Management.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	o FE Pan-European Guidelines for Afforestation and Reforestation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Evaluation of the implementation of NFP or similar process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Periodically, pre-specified in the main forest policy document <input type="checkbox"/> Irregularly conducted <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified <input type="checkbox"/> Other: <p>The Danish advisory board on forest policy, Skovraadet ("The Forest council"), has been invited by the minister responsible for forests to follow developments on a regular basis and along the way provide advise and ideas on follow-up steps relevant for implementing the NFP.)</p>
Binding status of the main (other than law) forest policy document	<input type="checkbox"/> Compulsory <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recommendatory
Allocation of financial resources for the implementation of NFP or equivalent document / process)	<input type="checkbox"/> Bulk allocation <input type="checkbox"/> Goal-specified allocation <input type="checkbox"/> Relying on external resources <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified, not planned <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other:
	<p>The programme includes a number of concrete actions that are planned to support implementation and the strategic orientation lines set out in the programme. All those concrete actions are all financed through allocations on the Danish Finance law covering all central government expenditure. These actions, however, have a time horisont of typically 3 to 4 years, thus shorter than the possible time frame for the NFP. It is expected that the programme will serve as source for inspiration for further future Financial allocations related to forests and forestry and other issues relevant for the programme.</p>

Significant changes related to the main forest policy document during last five years	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If yes, please describe the reason/s for and type of changes [max.100 words]
		A new Danish National Forest Programme was launched in October 2018. It covers all the FE SFM criteria and sets out 1 - 4 strategic orientation lines for each of them as well as a range of concrete actions.
Existence of other overall policy instruments/ documents from the sector or other sectors that explicitly encourage SFM or address key forest policy issues	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Title: Internet links:	
Reporting notes		
¹ "Formal NFP process" means a process which is formally and explicitly acknowledged and referred to as an "NFP process" acc. Vienna Resolution 1 (http://foresteurope.org/docs/MC/MC_vienna_resolutionV1.pdf).		

2. Institutional frameworks				
	Please specify the type of forest-related institutional arrangements according to their responsibility	Part of ministry	Sub-national ministries or similar bodies	Other bodies. Please specify below
Government bodies and public organizations	- forest policy administration - legislative supervision & enforcement - support to private forest management - management of public forests - forest management planning - forest inventory - research (Target 2) Other. Please specify:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

	<p>Comments [max. 100 words]</p> <p>Forest Inventory and Research is undertaken by the University of Copenhagen.</p>	
<p>Forest-related staff² Resources and capacity of government bodies and public organizations related to forests (Goals 7, Target 8)</p>	<p>Name or group/type¹:</p>	<p>2017; Full time equivalent</p>
	<p>Total</p>	
	<p>...of which forest administration³</p>	
	<p>...of which management of public forests</p>	
	<p>...of which public forest research, education and training institutions and other specialized agencies</p>	
	<p>... of which other permanent or temporary workers</p>	
	<p>Comments [max. 100 words]</p>	

<p>Significant changes in the institutional framework, public and private, during last 5 years</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]</p> <p>The responsibilities of the former Danish "Nature Agency" has been split between a new Nature Agency, the Agency for Environmental Protection and the Department of the Ministry for Environment and Food (the ministry under which both agencies belong). A key role of the new Nature Agency is to manage the Ministry's approximately 200,000 hectares of forests and natural areas. Responsibility for law administration, including the National Forest Act, is nowadays primarily covered by the Agency for Environtal Protection, while advise and support on forest policy Development, including developing the new NFP, falls under the responsibility of the Department of the Ministry for Environment and Food.</p>
<p>Policies, objectives and measures/actions taken in:</p>	<p>Forest-related research (Target 2) Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.</p> <p>Forest-related training and education (Target 2) Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.</p> <p>Forest-related capacity building in other institutional framework Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.</p>

	Comments [max. 100 words]
--	---------------------------

Reporting notes

1. Please provide aggregated figures for each group/type of organizations, including all levels of government. Publicly owned business entities should be excluded. Where it is impossible or inappropriate to separate the activities of publicly owned business entities from other public sector activities in forest sector, this should be noted and staff of the entities should be included in the table. Please specify in the space left for comments which institutions are included (or not) in the figures you provide.
2. Forest-related staff in public organizations includes human resources within public forest institutions at subnational level. This refers to professionals as well as administrative staff.
3. "Forest administration" includes the first three sub-categories mentioned in the previous part of the table, i.e. forest policy administration, legislative supervision & enforcement, and support to private forest management.

Proportion of forest and other wooded land under a management plan or equivalent instrument

Forest-related management document	Use of document in the country		Forest area under document		Other wooded land area under document	
	Yes	No	Ha	%	Ha	%
• Management plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
• Equivalent of management plan	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
• Other forest-related type of management document/instrument at operational level	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				
Are forest management plans an obligatory instrument?					Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the forest-related management plans / equivalents / other types of management documents registered with official body?					Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are the measures of forest management plans compulsory?				Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	Partially <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Do forest management plans regulate:				Yes	Partially	No
Volume of harvest				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Regeneration systems				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reforestation species composition	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tending and other silvicultural operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Deadwood volume	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comments:</p> <p>The coverage of issues in forest management plans varies and it for each of the issues that are being addressed above the will likely be examples of all three categories of reply ("Yes", "Partially" and "No").</p>			

Proportion of forest and other wooded land under third party certification schemes						
Certification scheme	Use of scheme in the country		Forest area under scheme		Other wooded land area under scheme	
	Yes	No	Ha	%	Ha	%
• Forest Stewardship Council certification (FSC) scheme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	110000	18	103000	
• Programme for the Endorsement of Forest certification (PEFC)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	170000	27	93000	
• Other international forest management certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
• Other country specific management certification	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
• Overlapping of certification schemes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	110000	18	90000	
<p>Comments:</p>						

The questionnaire relies on the (falls) assumption that only "forests" and "other wooded land" are certified. In Denmark that is not the case since the Danish Nature Agency for administrative reasons (cost effectiveness) has chosen to certify most of all the areas managed by the Agency, i.e. not only forests and other wooded land, but also other open (not wooded) land, such as Heather, moorlands, grass lands and other. In this questionnaire we have chosen to put all FSC and PEFC certified areas that are not "forest" under the category "other wooded land" although we know that some of it isn't really "wooded land" at all.

3. Legal/regulatory frameworks and international commitments		
Legal/regulatory frameworks		
General characteristics of legal/regulatory framework – formal authority on main forest matters is laid down	<input type="checkbox"/> in constitution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in legislation enacted by parliament <input type="checkbox"/> in administrative decrees / regulations <input type="checkbox"/> other:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> at national level <input type="checkbox"/> at sub-national level <input type="checkbox"/> other:
Main forest and SFM related legal/regulatory act with national scope (e.g. Forest law, act, code or similar document)	Name and reference to legal document:	Forest Act
	Date of enactment:	1 October 2004
	Date of latest amendment:	8 June 2018
Significant changes in the legal/regulatory framework during last 5 years	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]	

International commitments				
Are the following FOREST EUROPE instruments explicitly referred to in the legal/regulatory act?	FOREST EUROPE Definition of SFM FOREST EUROPE C&I for SFM Other: please specify	Fully <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Partially <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Main international commitments related to forests signed by the country	CBD AICHI UNCCD UNFCCC Kyoto Protocol Paris Agreement Alpine Convention Carpathian convention Other: Please specify	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Significant initiatives undertaken during last 5 years in implementation of international commitments related to forests signed by your country	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]			
Comments	Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.			

4. FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC INSTRUMENTS	
Main characteristics of economic policy related to forests	Please specify objectives of main measures taken to address economic aspects of <u>publicly owned forests</u> [max. 100 words]

	Please specify objectives of main measures taken to address economic aspects of <u>privately owned forests</u> or other [max. 100 words]
Significant changes in economic policy related to forests (public and private) during the last five years	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]
Comments	Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.
State/federal forests management	Please specify financial arrangements related to state-owned forests and their management <input type="checkbox"/> Profit-making or financially self-sufficient forest management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional financial support from a state budget to forest management <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Please specify:
Comments	Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.

Government financial instruments applied to private forest management	Please specify the use of financial instruments for private forests and their management. <i>For the EU Member States, please specify separately expenditure connected to the EU Rural Development Programme Funds.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Grants/subsidies, Please specify: <input type="checkbox"/> of which RDPF. Please specify: <input type="checkbox"/> Loans. Please specify: <input type="checkbox"/> Tax measures. Please specify: <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Please specify:		
Comments	Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.		
Year:		Total (million, national currency)	Of that private sources, if available (million, national currency)
Operational expenditure ¹			
Transfer payments to private forests ²			
Cost of forest administration ³ (not including the costs associated with managing public forests):			
of which...	Forest administration		
	Public forest research		
	Forest education and training institutions		
	Other institutions. Please specify:		
Total expenditure			

<p>If transfer payments are made to private forests, indicate for what specific objective(s) – Please tick all that apply.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Reforestation <input type="checkbox"/> Afforestation <input type="checkbox"/> Forest inventory and/or planning <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation of forest biodiversity <input type="checkbox"/> Protection of soil and water <input type="checkbox"/> Forest stand improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Climate change adaptation <input type="checkbox"/> Establishment or maintenance of protected areas <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify:
<p>Comments</p>	<p>Please explain [max. 100 words] and provide reference documents where relevant.</p>

Reporting notes

Please specify the **year** which the data refers to in the intended box.

1. **Operational expenditure** includes public and private domestic or external sources of finance (personnel, materials, operating costs) for operational forest activities (e.g. silvicultural and protective measures). It also includes expenditure on contract or outsourced activities. It also includes the operating costs associated with any forest sector incentive schemes.

It excludes public and private capital expenditure on investments in accordance with the national tax laws (construction and maintenance of forest roads, buildings; investments to equipment, tools, vehicles, machinery and technologies and other investments e.g. IT and/or intangible investments etc.). Data on capital expenditure are included in the questionnaire on quantitative indicators (indicator 6.4).

it should exclude the expenditure of publicly owned business entities. Where it is impossible or inappropriate to separate the activities of publicly owned business entities from other public sector activities in forest sector, this should be noted and expenditure of the entities should be included in the table. In this case, please specify in the space left for comments which institutions you included (or not).

It also excludes expenditure on: marketing; trade facilitation; or development projects, where forest is not the main focus of activities.

2. **Transfer payments to private forests** includes all government expenditures (including external) on direct financial incentives (grants or subsidies) paid to non-government and private-sector institutions, enterprises, communities or individuals operating in the forest sector to implement forest related activities. It excludes tax incentives; government loans; benefits in kind (free or subsidized materials and/or advice). It also excludes direct financial incentives available to all individuals and enterprises not specifically related to forest related activities (e.g. relocation grants, employment subsidies, general training grant schemes).
3. **Cost of forest administration** is government expenditure on public institutions solely engaged in the forest sector. It includes all public expenditure (including external) on implementing forest policy and legislation to the entire forest areas, mainly: expenditures for state administration bodies, support to other forest

<p>Are any types of Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES) schemes applied in your country?</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at public level <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, at private level <input type="checkbox"/> No </p> <p>If yes, please describe and provide reference documents where relevant. [max. 100 words]</p>
<p>Note:</p> <p>Types of markets for example: Public payment schemes for private land owners; Formal markets with open trading between buyers and sellers; Self-organized private deals.</p>	

5. Information and communication		
<p>Main characteristics of informational means</p>	<p>Is forest monitoring in your country sufficient for information and communication needs?</p> <p>If no, please specify:</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partially <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>
	<p>Is there public access to forest inventory data?</p> <p>If yes or partially, please specify:</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partially (e.g. just aggregated data) <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>
	<p>Does an official (governmental) forest-related outreach and communication strategy exist?</p> <p>If yes, please provide reference:</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partially <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>
	<p>Does a national report on the state of sustainable forest management exist?</p> <p>If yes or partially, please provide reference/link:</p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partially <input type="checkbox"/> No </p>

	<p>Is there a national platform for stakeholder participation in forest policy development and/or decision-making? If yes or partially, please specify:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partially <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Significant changes in informational means during last five years</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <p>If yes, please describe and incl. reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]</p>	
<p>Significant changes in forest related communication during last five years</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <p>If yes, please describe and include reason/s for the changes [max. 100 words]</p>	
<p>Reporting notes</p>		

2B: Policies, institutions and instruments related to specific criteria

Part 2B requests additional information since the last reporting in 2014 on policies, institutions and instruments for individual criteria for SFM:

- C1. Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest resources and their contribution to global carbon cycles;
- C2. Maintenance of forest ecosystem health and vitality;
- C3. Maintenance and encouragement of productive functions of forests (wood and non-wood);
- C4. Maintenance, conservation and appropriate enhancement of biological diversity in forest ecosystems;
- C5. Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of the protective functions in forest management (notably soil and water);
- C6. Maintenance of other socioeconomic functions and conditions.

Criterion 1 Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest resources and their contribution to global carbon cycles	
Have policy objectives been formulated with respect to Criterion 1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the policy objectives, including quantitative targets if any have been formulated	(max. 100 words, please) The new Danish national forest Programme (NFP) sets out strategic orientation lines related to all six Forest Europe SFM criteria. In addition to long term targets are set, one for C1 and one for C4. The new Danish NFP of 2018 sets out the following strategic orientation line related to C1: • Increase the Danish forest area and increase the public utility of the new forests. • Increase the uptake and stocks of carbon in forests and wood products through sustainable management. The long term target related to C1 reads: "Before the end of the 21st century, forested landscapes cover 20-25 pct. of Denmark's total area."
Please describe any institutional measures taken to achieve these objectives	(max. 100 words, please) The new NFP of 2018 include and describe a number of concrete actions aimed at pursuing the strategic orientation lines in the course of the next 3-4 years, all based on safeguarded financial resources. It also indicates that further future action may be developed.
What policy tools have been put in place to achieve these objectives (e.g. legal, financial, communication)?	(max. 100 words, please)
Please describe achievements in the area of Criterion 1 over the past five	(max. 100 words, please)

years	
What are the major challenges in the area of Criterion 1, and the major obstacles to achieving the policy objectives?	(max. 100 words, please)

Criterion 2 Maintenance of forest health and vitality	
Have policy objectives been formulated with respect to Criterion 2?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the policy objectives, including quantitative targets if any have been formulated	(max. 100 words, please) The new Danish NFP of 2018 sets out the following strategic orientation line related to C2: - Safeguard healthy, resistant and robust forests.
Please describe any institutional measures taken to achieve these objectives	(max. 100 words, please) The new NFP of 2018 include and describe a number of concrete actions aimed at pursuing the strategic orientation lines in the course of the next 3-4 years, all based on safeguarded financial resources. It also indicates that further future action may be developed.
What policy tools have been put in place to achieve these objectives (e.g. legal, financial, communication)?	(max. 100 words, please)
Please describe achievements in the area of Criterion 2 over the past five years	(max. 100 words, please)
What are the major challenges in the area of Criterion 2, and the major obstacles to achieving the policy objectives?	(max. 100 words, please)

Criterion 3 Maintenance and encouragement of productive functions of forests (wood and non-wood)	
Have policy objectives been formulated with respect to Criterion 3?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the policy objectives, including quantitative targets if any have been formulated	(max. 100 words, please) The new Danish NFP of 2018 sets out the following 4 strategic orientation lines related to C3: • A favourable and clear framework for sustainable production of timber and other goods. • Increase the demand and supply of documentable sustainable timber. • Coherent, robust and operational criteria for "sustainable timber". •

	Continue the conversion and development of close to nature forestry.
Please describe any institutional measures taken to achieve these objectives	(max. 100 words, please) The new NFP of 2018 include and describe a number of concrete actions aimed at pursuing the strategic orientation lines in the course of the next 3-4 years, all based on safeguarded financial resources. It also indicates that further future action may be developed.
What policy tools have been put in place to achieve these objectives (e.g. legal, financial, communication)?	(max. 100 words, please)
Please describe achievements in the area of Criterion 3 over the past five years	(max. 100 words, please)
What are the major challenges in the area of Criterion 3, and the major obstacles to achieving the policy objectives?	(max. 100 words, please)

Criterion 4 Maintenance, conservation and appropriate enhancement of biological diversity in forest ecosystems	
Have policy objectives been formulated with respect to Criterion 4?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the policy objectives, including quantitative targets if any have been formulated	(max. 100 words, please) The new Danish NFP of 2018 sets out the following 2 strategic orientation lines related to C4: • Preserve and increase forest biological diversity on special locations. • Promote the general consideration of nature in forestry. The long term target related to C4 reads: • Towards 2040, nature and biodiversity is the primary management objective of at least 10 pct. of Denmark's total forested area.
Please describe any institutional measures taken to achieve these objectives	(max. 100 words, please) The new NFP of 2018 include and describe a number of concrete actions aimed at pursuing the strategic orientation lines in the course of the next 3-4 years, all based on safeguarded financial resources. It also indicates that further future action may be developed.
What policy tools have been put in place to achieve these objectives (e.g. legal,	(max. 100 words, please)

financial, communication)?	
Please describe achievements in the area of Criterion 4 over the past five years	(max. 100 words, please)
What are the major challenges in the area of Criterion 4, and the major obstacles to achieving the policy objectives?	(max. 100 words, please)

Criterion 5 Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of protective functions in forest management (notably soil and water)	
Have policy objectives been formulated with respect to Criterion 5?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the policy objectives, including quantitative targets if any have been formulated	(max. 100 words, please) The new Danish NFP of 2018 sets out the following strategic orientation line related to C5: • Promote the water protective function of the forests. • Strengthen the forests' contribution to climate adaptation.
Please describe any institutional measures taken to achieve these objectives	(max. 100 words, please) The new NFP of 2018 include and describe a number of concrete actions aimed at pursuing the strategic orientation lines in the course of the next 3-4 years, all based on safeguarded financial resources. It also indicates that further future action may be developed.
What policy tools have been put in place to achieve these objectives (e.g. legal, financial, communication)?	(max. 100 words, please)
Please describe achievements in the area of Criterion 5 over the past five years	(max. 100 words, please)
What are the major challenges in the area of Criterion 5, and the major obstacles to achieving the policy objectives?	(max. 100 words, please)

Criterion 6 Maintenance of other socio-economic functions and conditions	
Have policy objectives been formulated with respect to Criterion 6?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Please describe the policy	(max. 100 words, please)

objectives, including quantitative targets if any have been formulated	The new Danish NFP of 2018 sets out the following strategic orientation line related to C6: • Maintain and develop forests as a public good which safeguards the citizens' outdoor recreational opportunities and nature experiences. • Preserve the values of cultural history in the forests.
Please describe any institutional measures taken to achieve these objectives	(max. 100 words, please) The new NFP of 2018 include and describe a number of concrete actions aimed at pursuing the strategic orientation lines in the course of the next 3-4 years, all based on safeguarded financial resources. It also indicates that further future action may be developed.
What policy tools have been put in place to achieve these objectives (e.g. legal, financial, communication)?	(max. 100 words, please)
Please describe achievements in the area of Criterion 6 over the past five years	(max. 100 words, please)
What are the major challenges in the area of Criterion 6, and the major obstacles to achieving the policy objectives?	(max. 100 words, please)

2C: Supplementary information on selected major issues

If you wish, provide similar brief information (policy objectives/targets, policy instruments, achievements, challenges), on specific areas not sufficiently covered in the sections before.

Possible areas, many of which touch more than one criterion, would include:

- legal/regulatory framework to combat illegal logging and associated trade of forest products
- Promotion of the use of sustainably produced forest products
- Water management and flood control through forests
- Forest recreation
- Sustainable forest workforce
- Occupational safety and health